MathWorks AUTOMOTIVE CONFERENCE 2023 Europe

## How to achieve full coverage of configurable code through dynamic testing and static analysis with Polyspace

Cinzia Flavia TOMASELLO, STMicroelectronics





## Agenda

- Automotive connectivity evolves
- Certification is key to deliver safe and robust Software-defined vehicles
- STMicroelectronics AUTOSAR software products
- STMicroelectronics methodology
- Verification and Validation process
- Leverage Polyspace to analyze Software variants

### Automotive connectivity evolves

- Electronic systems are a fundamental part of any automotive environment
- Electronic control units (ECU) to control sophisticated engine functions:
- Anti-lock braking systems, traction control systems, park assistance systems, etc..
- Internet of Things (IoT) exposes ECUs to hacker attacks:
- We are connected to the vehicles using Cellular, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, etc..
- Complex ECU system caused increase of embedded software and networking in vehicles



## Certification is key to deliver safe and robust Software-defined vehicles

- ISO 26262 for functional safety
- ISO/SAE 21434 for cyber security
- Static Analysis evaluation is fundamental to ensure
- Software quality
- Compliance with regulations
- Ensure that code follows coding standards as MISRA, Cert-C and CWE
- STMicroelectronics relies on Polyspace to fulfill ISO 26262 and ISO/SAE 21434 standard requirements







# STMicroelectronics AUTOSAR software products: development challenges

- STMicroelectronics develops AUTOSAR MCAL drivers for Automotive customers.
- Use of AUTOSAR system architecture reduce development cost and avoid re-development of SW for similar application
- Drivers are developed for PowerPC and ARM architecture and are configurable for each customer
- High level of driver configuration leads to a huge number of software variants

Driver	Number of Boolean preprocessor macro (#define)	Number of SW variants considering only Boolean parameters	
MCU	58	$2^{58} = 288^* 10^{15}$	
CAN	50	$2^{50} = 112^*10^{13}$	

• Fulfill Automotive safety and security standards

## Methodology to verify MCAL driver variants

- The AUTOSAR software team defined a methodology to select the minimal subset of variants to obtain the full structural coverage of the configurable code
- Variant selection
- Human and iterative process based on developer expertise
- Measure completeness of variants subset
- Metrics: Statement Coverage, Decision Coverage, MC/DC
- Aggregate code coverage: an internal developed tool aggregates the coverage results for each software variant generating a report containing the aggregated coverage score and map.

### Aggregated code coverage

Aggregate

Reachable lines = 11 - Tested Line = 8 Statement coverage score = 72%



## Verification and Validation process

- Each SW variant is verified
  - Functional test on target
  - Code Coverage (Statements, Branch Coverage, MC/DC Coverage)
- HIS metrics (e.g. Cyclomatic Complexity, Comment Density – using Polyspace Bug Finder)
- Static Analysis (MISRA, Cert-C, CWE – using Polyspace Bug Finder)
- Custom naming convention (using Polyspace Bug Finder)
- Consolidate results for each category



### Leverage Polyspace to analyze SW variants

- Framework (BFW) to select single variant and run VnV
  - User can select architecture/compiler/target and run one of the test mentioned in the VnV process
  - The BFW collect all user selections to invoke the testing tool
- STMicroelectronics relies on Polyspace for static code analysis
- SW variants compliant with ISO 26262
- Fully configurable using script
- Supports all architectures and compilers



## Managing Polyspace findings

- The AUTOSAR software quality team wrote a document<sup>(1)</sup> that defines which static analysis metrics and which thresholds shall be applied to the AUTOSAR software
- Polyspace gives a severity level for defect
  - Defect with HIGH impact must be fixed in configurable code
- MISRA C:2012
  - Mandatory: the standard does not permit deviation from these rules. The violation must be solved in configurable code
  - Required/Advisory: the standard permits only the deviations that are recorded and authorized. The violation is derogated directly in code using a specific notation

#### Polyspace results of configurable software

- Polyspace can generate analysis report for each variant analyzed
- An aggregated report is generated from single variant analysis to measure code quality of the complete configurable software



#### Results

 Applying this methodology, the number of variants to be verified to ensure completeness of the code analysis and the structural coverage decrease drastically

Driver	Number of Boolean preprocessor macro (#define)	Number of SW variants considering only Boolean parameters	Subset of variants	Coverage score	Compliance with Static Analysis Metric Guidelines
MCU	58	$2^{58} = 288^{*}10^{15}$	177	100%	100%
CAN	50	$2^{50} = 112^{*}10^{13}$	179	100%	100%

- Structural coverage is verified
- Thanks to Polyspace, errors in the software are found earlier, before the delivery to customer, and quality standards are fully met

## Take away

- Significant improvement of
  - productivity of ST development team
  - the quality of the configurable software
- Reusable framework beyond firmware development
  - Other teams (e.g. Safety Library team) are adopting this framework
- Possible to extend this method to other software verification activities

#### Next steps

- Automatic extraction of the smallest software variants
- Improve code metrics consolidation across variants
- Extend code verification to formal code verification (e.g. Polyspace Code Prover)

Thank you! Any questions?